
Teaching kids to walk and talk faster.

How do we make the learning curve better, or, in more practical terms, increase the amount of information taken in during the most influential years of the child's life, being around four years old?

Well, i figure the faster they learn to talk and recognize words, the quicker they will begin to learn, and, take chunks out of the world into their minds. so, i figure that we need them to learn to talk faster, and, also, to walk faster, but walking is not as important. but, let's start with walking?

How do kids learn to walk? i figure it is because they see their parents doing it all the time, and, want to copy them. with a little practice they learn to walk. but, i want children as old as six months to be walking! yes, that is my goal! to do this, we need to get them furniture to hold onto, or, walls - i am sure every family can afford a few walls?

When does a baby realize it is time to try to stand? if you were to drill a hole in the wall, and hang a christmas stocking on it, out of the child's reach, they will soon learn to stand. maybe that will be the best bet? walking comes after standing, and, trying to stay upright will naturally lead to the first steps as the child tries to stay close to the 'stocking.'

Then, to teach children to speak, we need them to copy mommy and daddy. if they were to hear speech all day long, they would also try to talk. haven't you noticed the first word is always distinct and clear? well, most of the time anyway. this means that the child has stopped crying for what they want and wants to 'identify' their parent as the 'thing' they want.

So, how do we hurry this process along? if the child was to have a variety of things shown to them, and, names given to them, then they would remember them all together. if you were to show them one thing, and repeat the name after hiding it and then showing it again, they will learn quickly. then, you can introduce two things, one in each hand, and, ask them what they are. this will hurry them up so that the first word may not be mommy and daddy, or, introduce a lot of first words. it should work, why not?

Then, to teach children to write and read faster, the parents may draw these items on the wall on a piece of paper, and, give them stencils to write the word out. this will call for a lot of paper, but i am sure it will work out. if the kids were to first learn the names of the things - how they sound - then see how they are spelled then with a little practice they will be able to copy them onto the paper with the help of the stencil. this will really give them an advantage at school, and, maybe even in grade r they could learn the 'abc song' and the 'one to twenty song.'

I know this might pressure kids, but, i am sure that at a gentle pace, with plenty of time for games, they will actually enjoy it, especially if they get lollipops for their 'works' from their parents.

More games in the classroom.

If teachers were to play games with the kids in the classroom, then things would improve in lower school. if they were allowed to talk, for example, as rewards, i am sure they would play any games that the teacher put forth, or, even go talk in groups on the playground. in fact, we could raise the games to stakes where the

losers would have to go to the sports events on Saturdays, as a real punishment.

The games they will play? well, in grade one, learning the abc and 1 - 20 songs might be important, along with basic reading and the stencil games. then they could also be taught to add and subtract on their fingers and toes or whatever. also teaching them colours could work out.

In grade two, more of the same. they should start working with computers at this or grade three.

In grade three, they might want to start learning how multiply and divide, as they are so similar. after two years of stencil training, it might be time to remove the stencils?

In grade four, getting ready for their trades, they might be encouraged to bring newspapers to school, and write essays on what the articles were about - all in class - no homework. all help from mom and dad will be done before they present their things.

In grade five they could learn their trades - all mechanical, all electrical and all plumbing or other similar things.

In grade six, they could learn basic algebra and other terms of higher learning.

In grade seven, they can begin grade nine work, to prepare them for their high school career. subject choices must be made after careful thought.

In grade eight they can begin their degrees, through until they are sixteen. if they were to leave school now without a degree, they can take a 'gap year' or something. i know kids at the age of sixteen would much rather be working for money, personally.

The degrees should run for three years. all learning should be paid for in bulk so as to avoid the expenses, and, they should all be distance learning courses that they can study in class. actually, they can do what they like in class, as they only have to write their exams at school, so they could stay home the whole time only coming in to have notes explained to them by teachers.

So, this is how i think things should look. the games they play in junior school will encourage them to work well. Some of the games they could play would be to continue the essay? the essay could be written in grade three, and everyone needs to pass a note a note around adding to it after reading what it is about. if at any point the note doesn't make sense, because some child didn't read the essay, they could make that child write out a whole essay by themselves while the 'good' kids continue.

Another thing that drives teachers mad is when the kids talk. this especially happens in high school, and that is why i suggest they can stay home until they write exams or phone the teachers for help. to get rid of talking in lower school, they could spend their breaks in detention, or, phoning their parents to tell them that they spoke in class, or both!

Artificial intelligence.

Why not expose it to random choices, then state the objective, then ask it to find the best one to accomplish the task? if the ai was to go through trial and error, as

we do, it will be able to make choices, or will it? it needs emotions to complete these choices, so, will not be able to choose without other input related to the question.

But, i suppose we could put together a ai to work in games, then establish a personality, then port it over to normal operations?

This would mean there needs to be an objective, there needs to be choices based on habitat and tools and a few other choices. if you place an ai into an oil drilling game, there must be more than one. the first one will choose the logical best drilling site, then player two has a turn. then player two will see the directly best drilling site has been taken, so will choose another drilling site - eventually. i remember in a game i played called settlers, the computer would always choose a site for the minerals that was richest in that small site. seeing as how the maps went on for hectares and hectares, i chose the sites with the most potential drilling sites, as they were bound to hold something. this risk is what player two must use.

Then comes player three, and player three will see that the best site is gone, and the logical next best drilling site is gone. you might think it might want to take a third best logical drilling site, but, it might be able to assess risks and take a logical plunge into an unknown risk site. using the same maps for these games would mean it gets the same results. programmers might want to save this memory of achievements, and, then take away knowledge of the drilling sites. the hope is that that eventually we could design a 'program' that drills randomly when the clock is ticking hoping to strike it lucky, always based on the personality of the 'player.' we should put things to it like not being able to forecast the weather, but, without using percentage likelihood like we do, take into account the probable climate there for the miners.

If the player was to develop to such a height as being able to, through previous experiences, remember things about the world they are in, then there is hope. but, we need to get the player to guess! without guessing there will be no ai! to guess, as a guess from me, we would need to make it emotional. if it were emotional, or, programmed into it moods, it might be in a hurry or very pessimistic, to say the least.

Now, to get it to be emotional, we need to present it with variety of responses. this is not random generation, it is a chosen response on top of a situation. if the situation was that the mines were disappearing, then they would need to stop drilling there and drill somewhere else. the time to pull out must not be programmed! it must come down to risk assessment. if the 'player' decides that it is waiting for the optimum figures to quit, what are they? they must include a risk, and, the player must remember that risk and the outcome, and be graded on it. viola, there is emotions!

It will be a person, and not an automation, because it has the vagueness, as you ask for, in it's risk assessment. then, there is the urge to complete the task quickly, due to time constraints and money limitations. i know a guy on weed could blankly stare at the lawn thinking of mowing it, but, if it were a computer programmed to do these things, i would not dilly dally.

If it needs a personality, first it must want to be 'alive.' if it were to seek to get points or something, and get told that points are good, well, how is that different from a person thinking money sleep sex and food is good? eventually we could tell the automation, that is capable of a vague guess, to have other goals. our goals come from our instinct, an instinct that is no different than the dinosaurs.

Inspiration.

I feel one of the biggest draw backs in society is when people feel they cannot do something, or just plain don't want to. what do people do instead of being inspired? well, if you were to look around the world, in the west at least, we find that people just want to be entertained and socialize. it is as if it were antisocial to be inspired or mentally taken over with work or achievement. i think it also becomes antisocial to talk about work or non gossip or sports?

So, how do we inspire people? it is harder to inspire men, as they always prefer to be lazy, so let's start with women? what do women want, and, how do we turn them into living inspirations?

I would guess that women would be happy to sit with their friends and talk about men. that is all they do, to my knowledge. they sit and talk about men and how to get them. this leaves a lot of space to inspire them to becoming the next mother theresa, margaret thatcher or williams sister. So, how do we get the women to stop gossiping and turn their energy to more beneficial things?

Actually, it is much easier to inspire women. they are more mature and deal with reality more. when men come to them, and ask if they are ugly, they always try to be honest and prep them up at the same time. then they would make more sacrifices for the family. yes, women are more inspired already! so, how do we make them actually do things? women spend more time doing nothing, so they have more capacity to do something. i guess, we could make them realize they can do more? if they were to be 'presented with a plan,' a plan from their parents or teachers in school, or, even in the office, they would more likely do it than the odds of doing it now.

So, what goes into this plan? the promise of a fun job one day or even a promotion now. all they got to do is believe they can, and focus. if they were to see their goal, and the steps to get there, they would be more likely do well. all we actually do, is decrease the gossip! that is the trick...

To decrease the 'gossip,' we need to advertise jobs in beauty and fashion magazines, for a start. instead of magazines pumping looks, they could make the women look glamorous in their office wear. then they would also hopefully talk about the look of a secretary, or, hopefully, 'big boss woman.' this will make them, as they are always into how they look for the sake of men, evidently, turn to the office or school. i know that some women get so desperate for attention they dress like 'sluts.' this is because they want a heads up on the other women to get the best man, right?

So, the best way to get women to stop their idle chit chat is to present them with an image of them being successful, having money, a loving child or children - that also want to be successful - and cram this into their 'habitat.' this will surely get them inspired, because to look the part is only half of the challenge - the desire to be the part is tremendous then. i hope.

So that was women, and, i am a man, so i will understand men better than women hopefully. men like to gossip too, but, to get them motivated, we need to move heaven and earth! i know many men are happy to sit around being lazy while their wives do all the hard work, stressing the women out all day long. there is no break from being a house wife is there? so, let's motivate the men?

Basically, men can be swayed with sex. instead of living in a fantasy where


everyone is watching you and talking about you, as women do, men want sex and beer. this is easy enough now, all we need is to get the women to offer them sex only after they have been trying to do things. of course, when men look around, they see some successful men. they want to be like them for their cars, money and wives, yes?

So, all men need to do is believe they can, then make a plan, as we did with women. we need to be treated with some motivation in the form of movies of themselves. yes, if women or their wives or their parents were to video tape them, or, discuss them with them, they will shy away, but eventually they will see the truth. they are not getting away with being lazy anymore, as their 'prosecutors' will be talking about them, and they will have to face up to it. if they scream and shout and get violent, then wait for them to run out of energy, simply. then confront them with the truth, and, plant seeds of fantasies about success, and all it's trappings.

Forming a mega corp.

This could take time, but time is against us! this could take money, but money is scarce! this could take skills, but skills are short! anyways, let's see if we can make a mega corporation out of thin air? like a toadstool circle, we could start something...

All we got is lots of people who want to make money. simply group together, assign yourselves jobs within the corporation, then launch it. it is cheap to launch a company, minimal amount of money. then you will have your giant company, all of you with senior jobs. the service you provide depends on the sector you are in, and, i suggest you run a google search for the most needed or sparse 'service delivery' needs in the city. so, let's run one now?

 Quote by: <http://www.southafricanewstoday.com/Scarce-Skills-in-South-Africa.html>

The intended readers of the brochure are job seekers.

The introduction notes that jobs are scarce and encourages people to study for scarce skills. It defines a scarce skill as "a qualification or job for which there are too little [sic.] people in South Africa doing the job."

A section entitled "What Jobs are Scarce?" makes interesting reading. All areas of the economy are short of skilled personnel.

Managers of all descriptions are scarce, including chief executives, general managers and legislators; specialist managers; construction, distribution and production / operations managers, IT managers; events, hospitality, retail and services managers, and so on.

Professionals are required by the hundred in every field: arts and media, accountants, auditors, actuaries, human resources, information and organization, sales and marketing, public relations, architects, surveyors, engineers, school teachers, scientists, midwifery and nursing, business system analysts and programmers, database and systems managers, solicitors and so forth.

Then there are shortages of technicians and trade workers in agriculture, medicine and science, building, engineering, telecommunications, manufacturing and process, fabrication, automotive industry, panelbeaters, bricklayers, carpenters and joiners, glaziers, plumbers, electricians, electronics, food trades, health and welfare support, hospitality, defence force, fire departments, sports and fitness.

There are similar lists of scarce skills in the areas of clerical and administrative workers, sales

workers, machinery operators and drivers, stationary plant operators, mobile plant operators, vehicle drivers, store persons.

Here the brochure becomes worrying.

In a country with 40% unemployment (that's about 12 million unemployed) there is a lack of elementary workers skilled in laundry work, construction and mining, factory process, farming, forestry, gardening, freight handlers and shelf packers, deck and fishing hands, handypersons [sic.], motor vehicle parts and accessories fitters, printing assistants and table servers.

In other words, there is a shortage of manual labourers.

So, after a quick google, we know in my country south africa there are shortages of people in manual labour sectors.

This is interesting, as, people are always attempting these openings. of course, the more factories there are, the more commercial companies there can be. i am sure that for every factory there is about three times more jobs in the commercial sector?

So, get your company together with people, and launch it. then you get orders from people you 'pitch' your service to. if you price it correctly, you will get 'hits.' then, with the money from the pitch, you simply deduct expenses for renting equipment, paying people, nd manufacturing and logistics costs. there is your mega corp, soon to be listed, or, even listed before it goes to pitch.

Oxidative phosphorylation.

This is where electrons transport proteins throughout the body for energy for the body. the enzymes that the energy is taken from, or transported in, containing electrons, have the electrons attracting protons from the rest of the rest of the body and having the electrons in the body attract the right reactions.

There are a few formulas to remember for this mechanism, and, they can be learned easily.

But, i believe i can word this better. if the enzyme, or food, or fuel, is to go throughout the body in the blood stream, then that puss stuff that comes out when you bleed is called plasma of some sort, and it eats the food and gives you energy.

Mathematical probability theory.

This could eventually be used along side one world conscious and social mathematics to tell the future. if something happens by chance, there is a chance it will happen again, and, statistically speaking, you can nearly predict the outcome. this is a complex field in mathematics.

 Quote by: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Probability_theory

Probability theory is the branch of mathematics concerned with probability, the analysis of random phenomena.[1] The central objects of probability theory are random variables, stochastic processes, and events: mathematical abstractions of non-deterministic events or measured quantities that may either be single occurrences or evolve over time in an apparently random fashion. If an individual coin toss or the roll of dice is considered to be a random event, then if repeated many times the sequence of random events will exhibit certain patterns, which can be studied and predicted. Two representative mathematical results describing such patterns are the law of large numbers and the central limit theorem.

As a mathematical foundation for statistics, probability theory is essential to many human activities that involve quantitative analysis of large sets of data. Methods of probability theory also apply to descriptions of complex systems given only partial knowledge of their state, as in statistical mechanics. A great discovery of twentieth century physics was the probabilistic nature of physical phenomena at atomic scales, described in quantum mechanics.

This means, x should happen y times. or, it means x should happen if y is in effect. there is little between the two.

If you have a dice, and we will work with dice for the beginning, then you need to see that you have a one in six chance of rolling the dice to a specific number. the more you roll the dice the more chance of getting that number will happen. so, if we observe classical mechanics, we get x when x is rolled, and, the longer you roll the dice for, the more chance of getting a x is given.

Now, presume that the dice is actually a spoke or something, if the spoke is rotating, it will hit x a few times in the revolutions it goes through over the more time, the more likely to hit x . of course, seeing as how nothing is random, due to determinism, the spoke will roll a set number of times, in due time, yes? especially if the spoke's starting point is known, and in any problem, we should at least know that!

So, the spoke goes around a times and results in b times to face a certain way. if the time is more, the spoke will do more rotations no matter how slow it is going.

Now, the formula so far should be, x [will happen,] if [time equals] c but, we want to know if it is 'random' what the outcome will be. let's pretend there is a random?

So, [random] x will occur if time equals c , for definite, if the time allowed is enough to guarantee that a spoke will turn in a given chamber. of course using a dice is the next step, so hold on! if c equals d , then x will happen to the spoke. how much time? well, if a spoke moves at a rate of one turn a second, and there are four faces, then it will turn every four seconds. so, $c = 4$. that is the spoke.

Now for a dice, we would need to make doubly sure of some things, as, a dice is unpredictable, or is it? what in nature uses dice? what sort of thing would ever use the trickiness of a dice? you might roll it twenty times and never get a number you wish to have! so, we need to assign probability, but, seeing as how the universe is determined, all you need to know is how the dice is rolled, and where it is rolled onto. if you know it down to the bone, there is only one answer.

So, you desire x [number on dice], you got place rolling to $[d]$ and you got energy behind throw $[e]$. to get x you need to say if $[e]$ equals $[y - \text{or the amount of energy put into it}]$, and it is placed on $[d \text{ equal to distance of throw}]$, then you will get x .

This means, $x = y + d$ basically. now, let's do something more complicated?

If a leaf is blowing in the wind, and it has two sides to it, and you want to know which side will be upright at whatever interval, you need to take $x = y + d$! same old same, but that was easy...

Now, if a man is running away from a dog, and you want to know how fast they are running, and in which direction, you might need more symbols? you can only know one thing at a time, so, you will either make x equal the direction or the

speed of the running man. this means, $x = y + d$ again.

I really cannot think of anything else to add to it, as there are no more things i can think of that would actually be complicated.

Classical mechanics.

Acceleration could be described as acceleration = distance plus velocity minus time. this is simplified because the acceleration is equal to the distance covered in what time. it is not divided as it is now a 'remainder' of how much distance was covered and minus the time. this will leave you with how far the 'thing' traveled which is distance plus speed. then, you subtract the time to find the remainder, and then you get the rate of acceleration minus the time - the distance covered minus the time it took, leaves you with the the amount of acceleration. of course, to find the rate of acceleration, nothing should change, but, it is easier to find the amount of acceleration minus the time as to show where the acceleration left you, or, ignore the time. this will become useful when it comes to acceleration over different 'gears.'

Sieving out things for politicos.

Well done on selling some books. lord knows i have tried, and i am sure you are successful yet underrated. that always happens, people see books and they take thrillers not sustenance.

If you want to make the method simpler, maybe we - if i may be so bold - could try to use something simpler? i am good at simplifying things, if i do say so myself, and i am, so whatever.

So, you want to decide what is old news and new news? in new news, these should be new angles on the same promises, as everything that can be promised has already been promised, as politicians listen to what the people want. everything worth noting for the whole campaign has been asked for before, as needs never change. then there is old promises of the same thing. this means, of course, all promises have already been promised, and wherever the politician makes promises, it has already been promised, so there is no poi or npoi in politics, yes?

Now, to separate what is new research and what is old research we could make the division where the process continues or stops and halts, or, pauses. any new processes could be progress, yes?

So, if the magistrate is to be satisfied with all the information, then they need to look for key words in the heading and the first and last page. any key words coming up - words they don't want to read - will be put into the spam section. the stuff they want to read is the stuff that is new with little reflection of the words that come up in spam. all the spam gets handed down the line till you employ college kids to read it for you and summarize it for a little cash.

Then you could set it up for names too. if the names of important people come up, it is more likely to go into your to read pile. if it has new senators or something, also. if it goes into your to read pile, there is a good way to skim pages for input. all the information can be reflected in the big words - like reiterate or something - and you know you can skip those pages, as they just repeat things, get it?

Does this help you at all? i found it fun.

Isreal and poltics.

This thread is asking if isreal would use military force against a neighbor. the op stated that they would not do this because the world would not tolerate it. of course, we could look back to gaza and see that isreal did not accept a cease fire that was offered as it was sick and tired

of the rocket attacks, so the op is incorrect, if you ask me.

If you were to look at isreal's mistreatment of palestinians, they are free to go back to palestine. this would be like going to your and my homelands, as i also stay in south africa. i stay in cape town, nice to meet you!

Now, seeing as how they are free to go, they are not prisoners, and neither were the blacks of south africa. they were free to go home to a built house, a bit of grown food and as much rights as anyone could want. now i suppose you will ask how they could leave everything behind? well, look at the urban movement towards cities that leaves so many homeless and jobless - why do they come?

You seem to have arrived at the notion of jews being evil or something. if they were, then they would build concentration camps and deport them there to live in real misery. if it were a case of that, still nobody would intervene. that is because america supports isreal in nearly anything they do.

If you want to compare isreal to south africa, they might be the same, but nothing illegal is going on, as the majority is happy. that is politics - making as many people as possible happy while still making money. they live on the west bank, there is a chance to make money, they want to make money. america has urged them to stop building there but they continue. this is like a big firm bulldozing shacks for land to build on isn't it? big firms support many people and will always get their way, as, the state will always want to make as much in taxes as possible, and, the taxes on big firms is very high.

If you were faced with having to move, you could stick around and feel unwelcome, but, you would probably move. it is not like they stole the land, i bet the tenants were hanging around expecting to get prime luxury building money type deals?

This in no way relates to violence. i see arabs and jews walking merrily on the streets of isreal each day. if they are not 'defiling' themselves with one another, then they stay merry. i suppose fights might break out between families when they see this 'abomination' taking place, but otherwise they don't really have a problem do they? if they do, then they should try to reconcile in light of them both being good people, as i am sure they will all agree they are. the fact that they are a good moslem or a good jew does not really matter - they are good people.

Now when it comes to people shooting rockets over the border, why are they doing this? to kill innocent people? you must remember there is no way the army can support this as it would be a call to war, so, it is terrorists that are doing it. do you really think, as all people believe they are good, that someone that looks around them in a village really wants to harm others? do you wish to kill others? how are you different from them? are they slobbering at the mouth idiots that swat flies and pull worms apart with great zest?

Now, seeing as how it comes from the villages, there should be a jewish presence there. if they were to send missionaries to the villages, missionaries from isreal with supplies, and, most importantly, jewish media, or even western media, they will become more like decent westerners. decent westerners that have other things to do than think about getting into heaven. decent palestinians that are exposed to the 'brothel' of isreal. If that fails, they could build military bases over the border, for a small fee - i am sure the palestinians need money - and put them up with real targets. of course, this will be like sacrificing the lives of soldiers for the lives of civilians, but i am sure there will be a 'deal?'

Otherwise, they could try to have the villages bulldozed? if they get any more rocket attacks, they could organize there to be no more villages, yes? if there were no villages, there would be nowhere to hide for the terrorists. i am sure the palestinians would agree to that, as there cannot be many out there near jerusalem.

The way i see it they both have a decent claim to the land. the jews inherited isreal, the palestinians are natives too. the p's are in the way of progress though, so, should be

displaced. if you were to buy the land from them, they could move away. there is no heritage like the heritage of your children in getting money for them to settle anew and become educated. all this bitterness is because people fear change. the holy sites should be made accessible to everyone, or, dumped by israel. there is no heritage like the heritage of your children, and having them bombed because the sites are there for you to enjoy being in is not reason enough. if a site is holy, it is because people imagine it to be. there is nothing holy about a building, unless it is a faerie. in that case, it is holy and should be given as a gift to the p's so that there will be merry making instead of aggression.

If the site is presented to the arab world of the area, then there will be no more wars, as the state cannot condemn things from the ignorant few that believe that the building is special. they can make a new special place to worship. in the case that it is a magical site, that is echoes of the songs sung in there. that is why it has good karma inside of it, and, the site was not holy before they decided it was. if god told them that they need to be there to worship, what about the jews all around the world? they don't need it, do the zionists?

More on the ever asked religion.

I say my good man, i speak no falsehoods! i believe in gods, and, they are real. if you were to think of how conscious you are compared to an inanimate object, then compare yourself to god. the only difference that we have to inanimate objects with regards consciousness is that we feel fear and ask questions. how do we arrive at the questions we ask? through fear of losing something.

If you were to imagine that the inanimate object also thinks, and is part of the conscious of the universe, then you will see where gods fit in. they offer the universe conscious, so we and other living things may be thinking, and, there is no question that the universe also thinks.

i would like to introduce you to magic! magic is real and will amaze you at first. numerology is real. digital witchcraft is real. ask a magician to show you. feel the earthing as you sit outside, simply sit cross legged on some grass and think deep thoughts. yoga is magic. earthing is magic.

Now, all that magic comes from the universal understanding between things, that they 'mix' as you would say in chemistry. i it doesn't mix, and it does, then it wouldn't exist would it? are there square circles? no, because that doesn't mix! all the universe is our oyster, and, our gods are all around and inside us.

Why do people obsess about scandals? is this supposed to be personal? who in the 'office' would bring personal things into the office? who would say, hey you, you slept with another woman or whatever and expect that to affect work in the office? that is what this comes down to, economics and rights. what else is politics about?

So, you got this guy that does well on paper. that is the difference between them and obama - he does not do well on paper! if he was evaluated on all the promises he made, and, this not being personal, they are failed resolutions of his party and 'campaign machine.' his loss reflects the promises not carried out by his party too, as he speaks for them. actually, as president of america, he speaks for all of you when he speaks on television for the world, like it or not.

So, you got this guy who hasn't made any promises yet but rather delivers. this is a virtue of him and the gop. if the party performs, then bully for them. bully for you too. you voted for them. so what if he smacked some gangster that was feeling his crotch?

Now, it is easy to vote for the other side after failed promises. they know that too. they are banking on you voting the other way after a failure. so, they also put a lot into a clean image and campaign. this back and forth thing will never work, as as soon as it is a failure on one side, there is the other side to cling to.

What we need is a third and fourth option. if the americans were to get a new party together,

or, split the existing parties, hell it could go anywhere. then we need to ask what the parties want? do they really want the whole country? why not make a big party for just one state? ruling that one state will pay plenty, so that is taken care of. the people that go for the whole country are obsessed with power, but why? what does power actually do for you?

Well, i hear it all the time that people want power so they can tell people what to do. what a chore, having that amount of responsibility. think about it, you will be responsible for the whole country, and the stress, the scandals... i would choose to remain a senator or governor as it allows freedom in lifestyle. i mean seriously, who is such a fool as to believe that some people will care about the whole country? you see some white people stuck on a deserted island, you scramble helicopters and submarines to rescue them. you fight through legions of suicide bombers and get them at all costs. what about the other people of the country, the people they love? what about the homeless? they are in real need, but nothing is done for them outside what is socially acceptable, is it?

When you choose a president, don't choose one that is a nice guy, a oratorical wonder or a bleeding heart. choose someone that is good on paper, because that is all that really matters. economics and rights.

More on racism and stereotyping.

I think it is a power thing. lots of people only see support for democratic progressives in society, and, subconsciously, they think of offending people as being losing power. if you were to observe the state in south africa, if white people see a lot of black people, they will try to avoid them and be apologetic anytime some of them talk to whites. that is because the numbers are with the black people in south africa, which is 70 percent black or so.

Now, i wonder what they expect to happen? if they were to be nice to a member of a majority, they would expect the majority to be nice to them. have you ever heard of a someone saying that they don't deserve to be treated like this because they are a christian? like that has anything to do with anything! if you were to observe america though, everyone is scared of insulting minorities. this is because the campaigns are always mentioning minorities, as, every multitude of people is made of a lot of minorities. that means the power thing in america is about not offending minorities instead of majorities. well i think so anyways.

So, if you were honest with yourself, first of all there is honestly nothing wrong with individuals of another race. then, you won't be hung for calling someone a black or other slang terms. if the obsession with power were to be observed, then we would see a lot of fantasies being played out. like, for example, we would see the guys around a bar bragging about who they know - this is a claim to connections or power. this is posturing. this is natural. so, if it is natural, is it natural to be obsessed with power? i would think so. if the populace ever gave up on having a leg up on each other, then there would be apathy. this is not social, so, power obsession it is.

If you were to see people whispering about you, saying you are the most connected or steely example of modern person, then these fantasies come to life. well, in the individuals mind at least. if you are obsessed with it, you will have high stress, and if you let it go, you will be happier and more grounded in reality, and some people prefer that, but those are usually older 'mature' people.
